

On Near-Capacity Coding Systems for Partial-Response Channels

Joseph B. Soriaga and Paul H. Siegel¹
 Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
 University of California, San Diego, USA
 {jsoriaga,psiegel}@ucsd.edu

Abstract — We present a near-capacity coding system for higher-order partial-response channels, consisting of an outer set of interleaved low-density parity-check codes, an inner rate-1 shaping code, and a multistage decoder. The inner shaping code, which may be non-invertible, is designed to generate an output process similar to a binary Markov process that maximizes the mutual information for a given order. On the EPR4 channel, our system exhibits an iterative decoding threshold and a simulation BER of 10^{-5} within 0.19 and 0.33 dB, respectively, of the information-theoretic limit for a third-order input process.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider partial-response channels of the form, $R_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} h_i X_{t-i} + N_t$, with binary-constrained input process $X_t \in \{\pm 1\}$, output R_t , impulse response $\{h_0, \dots, h_{\nu}\}$, and AWGN N_t . In [1], a method to compute information rates for a finite-state Markov input process (FSMP) is used to produce the best known lower bounds on capacity. Moreover, the method can also be used to calculate the information rate for a FSMP generated from a rate $k:n$ (i.e., k inputs symbols are mapped to n output symbols) finite-state encoder driven with i.i.d. equiprobable binary inputs. Such a rate can be achieved by concatenating the finite-state encoder with a suitable outer parity-check code [2, 3]. (This is an extension of the discrete memoryless channel case shown by Gallager [4].)

Currently, the best general approach for designing inner and outer codes in this concatenation is that of Ma, et al. [2]. However, the use of their methods may not be feasible for higher-order partial-response channels. We present a system that uses an outer multilevel code (MLC), consisting of interleaved low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes, an inner rate-1 finite-state encoder, and a multistage decoder. In contrast to [2], our design of the inner encoder, which may be non-invertible, and our optimization of the outer code are easier and more readily applicable to higher-order channels such as EPR4, with $h(D) = (1 + D - D^2 - D^3)/2$.

II. DESIGN OF SHAPING AND LDPC CODES

Using an approach introduced by Gallager [4, p. 208], we design the inner shaping encoder to have an output process which closely resembles an optimized input FSMP. We construct a rate $k:k$ encoder which may map multiple input k -tuples to a k -tuple of channel input symbols, thus yielding symbol-block probabilities that are multiples of 2^{-k} . As an example, suppose the target first-order Markov process changes sign with probability 0.7. Table 1 shows a rate 2:2 encoder designed to match the two-step trellis of this target

¹This work was supported by the Center for Magnetic Recording Research and by the National Science Foundation under Grant CCR-0219582.

start	end	in	out	filter	p^*	p_{enc}
1	1	(-1,-1), (1,-1)	(1,-1)	(2,-2)	0.49	0.5
1	2	(-1,1)	(-1,1)	(0,2)	0.21	0.25
1	2	(1,1)	(1,1)	(2,0)	0.21	0.25
2	1	(-1,-1)	(-1,-1)	(-2,0)	0.21	0.25
2	1	(1,-1)	(1,-1)	(0,-2)	0.21	0.25
2	2	(-1,1), (1,1)	(-1,1)	(-2,2)	0.49	0.5

Tab. 1: Example construction for an inner shaping encoder.

process, where “filter” denotes the channel filter output for $h(D) = 1 - D$, and p^* and p_{enc} are the target and encoder distributions, respectively. Although this encoder is not invertible, the corresponding information rate is nevertheless achievable with an outer code [3].

An outer MLC is incorporated to ensure reliable communication [1]. Specifically, m separate length- N LDPC codewords are interleaved prior to the inner encoder. These codewords are recovered sequentially from a multistage decoder which uses an APP detector matched to the joint trellis of the channel and the inner code. At each stage i , the detector is given decisions from previous stages, and obtains log-APP ratios for the i th message-passing decoder. Using techniques from [5], at each interleave we optimize the rate of the LDPC code based on the marginal conditional density $f(l^{(i)}|u^{(i)})$, where $u^{(i)}$ is the i th interleaved input and $l^{(i)}$ is the log-APP output of the APP detector at stage i , under the assumption that all previous stages were correct.

III. RESULTS

We apply the design technique to the EPR4 channel by constructing 6-level coding systems at rates 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5. All systems exhibit iterative decoding thresholds within 0.25 dB of the information-theoretic limit for a third-order input FSMP. For rate 0.5, the threshold gap is 0.19 dB, and for an LDPC code blocklength of $N = 10^6$, the system achieves a BER of 10^{-5} within 0.33 dB of the third-order limit.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. D. Pfister, J. B. Soriaga, and P. H. Siegel, “On the achievable information rates of finite state ISI channels,” in *Proceedings IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference*, (San Antonio, Texas, USA), pp. 2992–2996, November 2001.
- [2] X. Ma, N. Varnica, and A. Kavčić, “Matched information rate codes for binary ISI channels,” in *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, (Lausanne, Switzerland), p. 269, June 2002.
- [3] J. B. Soriaga and P. H. Siegel, “On distribution shaping codes for partial response channels,” in *Proceedings 41st Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, (Monticello, IL, USA), October 2003.
- [4] R. G. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication*. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 1968.
- [5] T. J. Richardson, M. A. Shokrollahi, and R. L. Urbanke, “Design of capacity-approaching irregular low-density parity-check codes,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 27, pp. 619–637, February 2001.